

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/072,635	02/05/2002	Densen Cao	5061.9 P	6321
7	590 06/30/2005		EXAMINER	
Parsons, Behle & Latimer			LEWIS, RALPH A	
Suite 1800 201 South Mai	n Street		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P.O. Box 45898			3732	
Salt Lake City,	UT 84145-0898		DATE MAILED: 06/30/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/072,635	CAO, DENSEN				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Ralph A. Lewis	3732				
The MAILING DATE of this communi Period for Reply	cation appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence add	lress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30)  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum states a specified above in the maximum states are reply within the set or extended period for reply. Any reply received by the Office later than three months a earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re unication. )) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty tutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONI will, by statute, cause the application to become ABA	eply be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  THS from the mailing date of this con  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) file	d on					
	 ⊇b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition	<del>-</del>					
Disposition of Claims						
4)						
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P</li> </ol>		ummary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date				
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P3)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>		nformal Patent Application (PTO	-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/072,635

Art Unit: 3732

## **Obvious-type Double Patenting Rejections**

In light of the change in claimed subject matter and the recent issue of related patents the following new grounds of obvious-type double patenting are applied herein. The examiner regrets not applying the rejections with the earlier Obvious-type double patenting rejection which applicant overcame with a terminal disclaimer.

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-19 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousnesstype double patenting as being unpatentable over

```
claims 1-20 of U.S. Patent No. 6,783,362, claims 1-11 of U.S. Patent No. 6,755,648, claims 1-7 of U.S. Patent No. 6,910,886, claims 1-20 of U.S. Patent No. 6,755,649, claims 1-20 of U.S. Patent No. 6,780,010, claims 1-20 of U.S. Patent No. 6,719,558, claims 1-20 of U.S. Patent No. 6,719,559, claims 1-60 of U.S. Patent No. 6,799,967, and claims 1-37 of U.S. Patent No. 6,824,294,
```

and provisionally rejected under the doctrine of obvious-type double patenting as being unpatentable over

Application/Control Number: 10/072,635

Art Unit: 3732

```
claims 1-5, 7-10 and 12-17 of U.S. application SN 10/016,992,
claims 25-27, 31 of U.S. application SN 10/017,454,
claims 18-22 of U.S. application SN 10/071,847,
claims 1-18 of U.S. application SN 10/072,302,
claims 1-3 of U.S. application SN 10/072,462,
claims 1-18 of U.S. application SN 10/072,613,
claims 1-20 of U.S. application SN 10/072,659,
claims 1-23 of U.S. application SN 10/072,826,
claims 1-5, 8-15, 17-20 of U.S. application SN 10/072,850,
claims 1-10, 21 of U.S. application SN 10/072,852,
claims 18, 20-24 of U.S. application SN 10/072,858,
claims 21-31 of U.S. application SN 10/073,672,
claims 1-8, 10-20 of U.S. application SN 10/073,822,
claims 1, 6, 11-13, 15, 18 of U.S. application SN 10/073,823,
claims 1, 2, 5-7, 9, 13, 14, 17, 19, 20 of U.S. application SN 10/188,449.
claims 1-27 of U.S. application SN 10/189,224,
claims 1-35 of U.S. application SN 10/189,255,
claims 1-30 of U.S. application SN 10/189,307, and
claims 1-21 of U.S. application SN 10/189,307.
```

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because one of ordinary skill in the art would have found the differences between the claimed subject matter obvious.

## **Allowable Subject Matter**

Claims 1-19 would be allowable upon the filing of a terminal disclaimer to overcome the obvious type double patenting rejection above.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

Application/Control Number: 10/072,635

Art Unit: 3732

Page 4

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to **Ralph Lewis** at telephone number **(571) 272-4712.** Fax (703) 872-9306. The examiner works a compressed work schedule and is unavailable every other Friday. The examiner's supervisor, Kevin Shaver, can be reached at (571) 272-4720.

R.Lewis June 27, 2005

> Ralph A. Lewis Primary Examiner

> > Au3732